

## **REMARKS**

The above amendment and these remarks are responsive to the Office action of 26 May 2004 of Examiner Bunjob Jaroenchonwanit.

Claims 1-16 are in the case, none as yet allowed.

### ***Drawings***

Applicants submit herewith formal drawings for entry in the case subject to the approval of the Examiner.

### ***Specification***

Applicants have amended the specification to provide additional information regarding the parent application, and to remove a URL.

### ***Double Patenting***

Claims 1-16 have been rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-31 of U.S. Patent No.

6,738,817.

Applicants submit herewith a timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) to overcome the double patenting rejection.

**35 U.S.C. 102**

Claim 11 has been rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) over applicant admitted prior art (AAPA).

Applicants have amended claim 11 to recite port negotiation, thus distinguishing AAPA as suggested by the Examiner.

**SUMMARY AND CONCLUSION**

Applicants urge that the above amendments be entered and the case passed to issue with claims 1-16.

The Application is believed to be in condition for allowance and such action by the Examiner is urged. Should differences remain, however, which do not place one/more of the remaining claims in condition for allowance, the

Examiner is requested to phone the undersigned at the number provided below for the purpose of providing constructive assistance and suggestions in accordance with M.P.E.P. Sections 707.02(j) and 707.03 in order that allowable claims can be presented, thereby placing the Application in condition for allowance without further proceedings being necessary.

Sincerely,

R. G. Hartmann, et al.

By

  
Shelley M Beckstrand  
Reg. No. 24,886

Date: 17 July 2004

Shelley M Beckstrand, P.C.  
Attorney at Law  
61 Glenmont Road  
Woodlawn, VA 24381-1341

Phone: (276) 238-1972  
Fax: (276) 238-1545